# Yield and Quality of Jute (*Corchorus capsularis* L.) Vegetable Seed as Affected by Salinity Environment

M.Z. Tareq<sup>1</sup>, B. Hossen<sup>2</sup>, S.K. Biswas<sup>3</sup>, A.B.M.Z. Hoque<sup>4</sup> and M.M. Hasan<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Jute Agriculture Experimental Station, Bangladesh Jute Research Institute (BJRI), Jagir, Manikganj, Bangladesh
 <sup>2</sup>Dept .of Agricultural Extension, Ministry of Agriculture, Dhaka-1215, Bangladesh
 <sup>3</sup>Jute Agriculture Experimental Station, Bangladesh Jute Research Institute (BJRI), Jagir, Manikganj, Bangladesh
 <sup>4</sup>Genetic Resources and Seed Division, Bangladesh Jute Research Institute (BJRI), Dhaka, Bangladesh
 <sup>5</sup>Adaptive research division, Bangladesh Rice Research Institute (BRRI), Gazipur, Bangladesh
 E-mail: <sup>1</sup>zablulbarj@gmail.com, <sup>2</sup>billalhossen84@yahoo.com, <sup>3</sup>sanjoybjri@gmail.com, <sup>4</sup>zahidulhoque.abm@gmail.com, <sup>5</sup>mmhsuny@yahoo.com

Abstract—A pot experiment was conducted with three indigenous germplasm of jute (Corchorus capsularis L.) viz., Merha red, Merha green and Birol used as treatment and BJRI deshi pat shak-1 and BINA pat shak-1 were used as control at Jute Agriculture Experimental Station (JAES), Bangladesh Jute Research Institute (BJRI), Jagir, Manikganj during September to November, 2017. The experiment was conducted to investigate the effect of three salt concentrations, 0, 100 and 200 mM NaCl on reproductive growth of five jute genotypes. The experiment was laid out in Completely Randomized Design (CRD) with four replications. Among five genotypes Merha red produced highest seed yield per plant (2.02g) followed by Merha green (2.01g) and the lowest seed yield per plant (0.34g) produced by BINA pat shak-1. Results revealed that plant height, branches per plant, number of capsule per plant, number of seed per capsule, seed yield per plant, 1000-seed weight and germination percent were decreased but false seed percent was increased as a result of salinity. It was also reported that, among five genotypes Merha green was the most salinity tolerant and BINA pat shak-1 was the most salinity sensitive genotype.

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

Jute (white jute, Corchorus capsularis L.; nalta jute or tossa jute, C. olitorius L.; Tiliaceae family), known as a fiber plant, is a time-honored medicinal vegetable in North Africa, the Middle and Near East and Southeast Asia. The young leaves of C. olitorius that have been introduced into Japan as a healthy vegetable, moroheiya, are rich in vitamins, carotenoids, calcium, potassium and dietary fiber (Resources Council, Science and Technology Agency, Japan, 2000). Jute leaves are the leaves of certain jute plants, used as a food source in Asia, the Middle East, and parts of Africa. In addition to adding a distinct flavor to food, jute leaves also have nutritional value, and they act as thickeners in soups, stews, and sauces. Jute leaves may also be called saluyot or ewedu, depending on the region of the world in which one is cooking. It is possible to grow jute for its fresh leaves in some parts of the world, and some specialty stores also stock it in

fresh, frozen, or dried form, depending on their location and size (Calleja, 2010). There is some anti-aging components present in jute leaf. It contains lipid, protein, crude fiber, carbohydrate, different vitamins and minerals and also have some medicinal values.

Salinity is becoming a serious problem in several parts of the world limiting the productivity of agricultural crops (Khadija el al., 2013). The coastal areas of Bangladesh constitute about 20% of the country, of which approximately 53% are affected by diverse ranges of salinity (Minar *et al.*, 2013). Agricultural land use and cropping practices in these salinity-prone areas are very limited, consequently affecting the country's overall crop-productivity. Thus, selection of salinity tolerant jute varieties for vegetable purposes and understanding the mechanisms regulating jute responses and tolerance to salt stress has a great importance for food security and sustainable agriculture in the country. Therefore, the present study was conducted to identify salinity tolerant jute vegetable crop.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHOD

experiment was conducted at Jute Agriculture An Experimental Station, BJRI, Manikganj during the period from September to November, 2017 in pot culture to find out the salinity effect on reproductive growth of jute vegetables. Three indigenous Germplasm (Birol, Merha red and Merha green) collected from different location of Bangladesh were used as treatments and BJRI deshi pat shak-1 and BINA pat shak-1 were used as control. The crops were sown on 1<sup>st</sup> September, 2017. Seeds of jute vegetable cultivars were surface sterilized by keeping the seeds in 1% HgCl<sub>2</sub> solution for 2 min, followed by rinsing thoroughly with distilled water. Twenty-five seeds of each variety were sown per pot (19 cm in height and 19 cm in diameter) containing 3.5 kg soil. The atmospheric temperature fluctuated within a range of 29-31 °C at day and 18-27 °C at night. The relative humidity fluctuated

between 71 and 83% at day and night, respectively. For evaluation of salt-tolerant capacity of the 5 jute cultivars, a gradient of salt solutions (100 and 200mMNaCl) equivalent to an EC (electrical conductivity) of 12.11 and 24.22 dS m<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, was used. 1.0L of NaCl solution of different concentrations was applied to the topsoil of each pot at one-day interval from 45<sup>th</sup> days after sowing until day 75<sup>th</sup> after sowing. For control plants, 1.0L of distilled water was used instead of salt solution. One week after seed germination (day 10<sup>th</sup> after sowing), five equally grown seedlings from each genotype were selected and allowed to grow in each pot. The treatments were replicated four times in a completely randomized factorial design.

The collected data on different yield related characters and seed quality parameters were subjected statistical analysis following ANOVA technique. Differences among treatment means were adjusted by Duncan's Multiple Range Test with the help of a computer based statistical package program MSTAT-C (Gomez and Gomez, 1984).

#### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### **Plant height**

Plant height varied significantly at different varieties (Table 1). The tallest plant (111.7cm) was recorded in  $V_4$  and the shortest (81.67cm) plant was recorded in V<sub>5</sub>. Here V<sub>1</sub>, V<sub>2</sub> and  $V_3$ , respectively remained in the middle position. The effect of salinity level on plant height was found significant (Table 2). The tallest plant (107.2cm) was recorded in  $T_0$  (control) treatment and the shortest plant (95.0cm) was recorded in  $T_2$ treatment. Salinity level  $T_1$  remained in the middle position. Plant height varied significantly at different varieties x salinity interactions (Table 3). The tallest plant (116.0cm) was recorded in  $T_0 \ge V_4$  interaction which was statistically identical with  $T_0 \ge V_1$  interaction and the shortest plant (75.0cm) was recorded in T<sub>2</sub> x V<sub>5</sub> interaction which was statistically identical with  $T_1 \ge V_5$  interaction. Hossain *et al.* (2008) reported that shoot growth was seriously affected under salt stressed condition in peanut. Similar result was reported by Murshed et al. (2008) in rice, Siddiqui et al. (2017) in wheat. Salt stress significantly reduced the growth of rice genotypes during reproductive stage (Mojakkir et al., 2015).

 Table 1: Effect of variety on reproductive growth on jute vegetables

Varieti es	Plan t heig ht (cm)	Branc hes/ plant (No)	Capsu les/ plant (No)	Seed s /caps ule (No)	See d yiel d /pla nt (g)	100 0- SW (g)	Germin ation (%)	Fals e seed (%)
$V_1$	107. 3b	11.62b	48.33b	31.22 b	2.0 2a	2.23 7c	67.33a	6.20 3c
<b>V</b> <sub>2</sub>	105. 3b	13.56a	53.34a	32.67 b	2.0 1a	2.25 9c	60.67b	3.37 0d

<b>V</b> <sub>3</sub>	98.3	7.40d	13.37d	41.67	0.8	2.44	51.67c	10.1
	3c			а	7c	6b		3b
$V_4$	111.	9.20c	39.60c	28.87	1.0	2.60	53.67c	12.8
	7a			c	0b	7a		6a
<b>V</b> <sub>5</sub>	81.6	4.61e	8.00e	11.13	0.3	0.83	23.33d	2.50
	7d			d	4d	9d		3e
LSD(0.	3.43	0.997	1.591	1.634	0.1	0.05	2.260	0.82
05)	6				04	2		1
CV	4.14	13.06	5.95	6.83	10.	3.09	5.35	14.2
					23			3

Note:	$V_1 =$	Merha	red;	$V_2 =$	Merha	green	;	$V_3 =$	Birol	;	$V_4 =$	BJRI	deshi	pat
shak-1	and	$V_5 = BI$	NA p	oat sh	ak-1.									

 Table 2: Effect of salinity level on reproductive growth on jute vegetables

Treat ments	Pla nt hei ght (cm )	Bran ches/ plant (No)	Caps ules/ plant (No)	Seeds /capsu le (No)	Seed yield /plan t (g)	1000 -SW (g)	Germi nation (%)	False seed (%)
T <sub>0</sub>	107	10.97	40.83	36.13a	1.67a	2.52	68.80a	5.21
	.2a	a	a			9a		b
<b>T</b> <sub>1</sub>	100	10.13	30.73	26.39b	1.18b	1.89	46.80b	5.78
	.4b	b	b			6b		b
<b>T</b> <sub>2</sub>	95.	6.73c	26.01	24.81c	0.90c	1.80	38.40c	10.0
	0c		c			9c		5a
LSD	2.6	0.772	1.233	1.266	0.081	0.04	1.751	0.63
(0.05)	62					0		6
CV	4.1	13.06	5.95	6.83	10.23	3.09	5.35	14.2
	4							3

Note: T<sub>0</sub>= 0 mM NaCl; T<sub>1</sub>= 100 mM NaCl and T<sub>2</sub>= 200 mM NaCl

 Table 3: Interaction effect on salinity level x variety of jute vegetable

Intera ction (Tr. x Var.)	Plant heigh t (cm)	Branc hes/ plant (No)	Caps ules/ plant (No)	Seed s /caps ule (No)	See d yiel d /pla nt (g)	1000 -SW (g)	Germi nation (%)	Fals e seed (%)
$T_0 x V_1$	115.0	15.17	61.33	35.67	2.52	2.254	73.0a	2.61
	а	а	а	d	a	f		f
$T_0 x V_2$	105.0	15.17	58.67	35.33	2.18	2.289	66.0b	1.91
	cde	a	а	d	b	def		f
$T_0 x V_3$	110.0	8.00d	17.17	44.67	1.22	2.687	67.0b	7.63
	abc	e	f	a	f	b		cd
$T_0 x V_4$	116.0	10.00	43.00	31.60	1.40	2.895	68.0b	6.38
	a	bc	c	efg	ef	a		de
$T_0 x V_5$	90.0h	6.50ef	24.00	33.40	1.02	2.517	70.0ab	7.51
			e	de	g	с		cde
$T_1 x V_1$	108.0	10.20	49.50	29.50	2.12	2.250	69.0ab	7.19
	bcd	bc	b	fg	bc	f		de
$T_1 x V_2$	97.0f	14.17	51.17	32.17	1.98	2.288	59.0c	2.21
	g	a	b	ef	cd	def		f
$T_1 x V_3$	104.0	7.50ef	12.00	41.67	0.78	2.376	50.0c	10.6
	cde		g	b	h	d		9b

$T_1 x V_4$	113.0	11.80	41.00	28.60	1.03	2.564	56.0c	8.82
	ab	b	c	gh	g	c		с
$T_1 x V_5$	80.0i	7.00ef	0.00h	0.00i	0.00	0.000	0.00f	0.00
					j	g		g
$T_2 x V_1$	99.0e	9.50c	34.17	28.50	1.42	2.206	60.0c	8.81
	fg	d	d	gh	e	f		с
$T_2 x V_2$	93.0g	11.33	50.17	30.50	1.87	2.199	57.0c	5.99
	h	bc	b	efg	d	f		e
$T_2 x V_3$	102.0	6.70ef	10.93	38.67	0.66	2.276	38.0e	12.0
	def		g	с	hi	ef		7b
$T_2 x V_4$	106.0	5.80f	34.80	26.40	0.58	2.362	37.0e	23.3
	cd		d	h	i	de		8a
$T_2 x V_5$	75.0i	0.33g	0.00h	0.00i	0.00	0.000	0.00f	0.00
		_			j	g		g
LSD	5.95	1.73	2.76	2.83	0.18	0.090	3.915	1.42
					0			2
CV	4.14	13.06	5.95	6.83	10.2	3.09	5.35	14.2
					3			3

In a column, figures having common letter(s) do not differ significantly at 5% level of significance as per DMRT.

#### Number of Branches per plant

Number of branch per plant varied significantly at different varieties (Table 1). The highest number of branches per plant (13.56) was recorded in  $V_2$  and the lowest number of branches per plant (4.61) was recorded in  $V_5$ . Here  $V_1$ ,  $V_4$  and  $V_3$ , respectively remained in the middle position. The effect of salinity level on number of branches per plant was found significant (Table 2). The highest number of branches per plant (10.97) was recorded in  $T_0$  and the lowest number of branches per plant (6.73) was recorded in  $T_2$ . Salinity level  $T_1$ remained in the middle position. Number of branches per plant varied significantly at different varieties x salinity interactions (Table 3). The highest number of branches per plant (15.17) was recorded in T<sub>0</sub> x V<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>0</sub> x V<sub>2</sub> interaction which was statistically identical with T1 x V2 interaction and the lowest number of branches per plant (0.33) was recorded in T<sub>2</sub> x V<sub>5</sub> interaction. Salinity decreased primary and secondary branches which are also important yield contributing factors (Mojakkir et al., 2015).

## Number of capsules per plant

Number of capsules per plant varied significantly at different varieties (Table 1). The highest number of capsules per plant (53.34) was recorded in V<sub>2</sub> and the lowest number of capsules per plant (8.0) was recorded in V<sub>5</sub>. Here V<sub>1</sub>, V<sub>4</sub> and V<sub>3</sub>, respectively remained in the middle position. The effect of salinity level on number of capsules per plant was found significant (Table 2). The highest number of capsules per plant (40.83) was recorded in T<sub>0</sub> and the lowest number of capsules per plant (26.01) was recorded in T<sub>2</sub>. Salinity level T<sub>1</sub> remained in the middle position. Number of capsules per plant varied significantly at different varieties x salinity interactions (Table 3). The highest number of capsules per plant (61.33) was recorded in T<sub>0</sub> x V<sub>1</sub> interaction which was statistically identical with T<sub>0</sub> x V<sub>2</sub> interaction and the lowest number of capsules per plant (0.00) was recorded in T<sub>1</sub> x V<sub>5</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> x V<sub>5</sub> interaction. Similar result was reported by Siddiqui *et al.* (2017) in wheat.

#### Number of Seeds per capsule

Number of seeds per capsule varied significantly at different varieties (Table 1). The highest number of seeds per capsule (41.67) was recorded in  $V_3$  and the lowest number of seeds per capsule (11.13) was recorded in V<sub>5</sub>. Here V<sub>2</sub>, V<sub>1</sub> and V<sub>4</sub>, respectively remained in the middle position. The effect of salinity level on number of seeds per capsule was found significant (Table 2). The highest number of seeds per capsule (36.13) was recorded in T<sub>0</sub> and the lowest number of seeds per capsule (24.81) was recorded in T<sub>2</sub>. Salinity level T<sub>1</sub> remained in the middle position. Number of seeds per capsule varied significantly at different varieties x salinity interactions (Table 3). The highest number of seeds per capsule (44.67) was recorded in T<sub>0</sub> x V<sub>3</sub> interaction and the lowest number of seeds per capsule (0.00) was recorded in T<sub>1</sub> x V<sub>5</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> x V<sub>5</sub> interaction. Similar results were reported by Mojakkir et al. (2015) in rice and Tareq et al. (2011) in wheat.

## Seed yield per plant

Seed yield per plant varied significantly at different varieties (Table 1). The highest seed yield per plant (2.02g) was recorded in  $V_1$  which was statistically identical with  $V_2$  and the lowest seed yield per (0.34) was recorded in V<sub>5</sub>. Here V<sub>4</sub> and V<sub>3</sub>, respectively remained in the middle position. The effect of salinity level on seed yield per plant was found significant (Table 2). The highest seed yield per plant (1.67g) was recorded in  $T_0$  and the lowest number of seeds per capsule (0.90g) was recorded in  $T_2$ . Salinity level  $T_1$  remained in the middle position. Seed yield per plant varied significantly at different varieties x salinity interactions (Table 3). The highest seed yield per plant (2.52g) was recorded in T<sub>0</sub> x V<sub>1</sub> interaction and the lowest seed yield per plant (0.00) was recorded in T1 x V5 and T2 x V5 interaction. Similar results were reported by Mojakkir et al. (2015) in rice and Tareq et al. (2011), Siddiqui et al. (2017) in wheat.

## 1000-seed weight

1000-seed weight varied significantly at different varieties (Table 1). The highest 1000-seed weight (2.607g) was recorded in V<sub>4</sub> and the lowest 1000-seed weight (0.839g) was recorded in V<sub>5</sub>. Here V<sub>3</sub>, V<sub>2</sub> and V<sub>1</sub>, respectively remained in the middle position. The effect of salinity level on 1000-seed weight (2.529g) was recorded in T<sub>0</sub> and the lowest 1000-seed weight (2.529g) was recorded in T<sub>2</sub>. Salinity level T<sub>1</sub> remained in the middle position. 1000-seed weight varied significantly at different varieties x salinity interactions (Table 3). The highest 1000-seed weight (2.895g) was recorded in T<sub>0</sub> x V<sub>4</sub> interaction and the lowest 1000-seed weight (0.000) was recorded in T<sub>1</sub> x V<sub>5</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> x V<sub>5</sub> interaction. Mojakkir *et al.* (2015) reported that, reduced 1000-seed weight under salinity condition might be due to lower assimilate production and

translocation to grain which might be due to damage of chlorophyll and toxicity raised in the plant. Similar result also reported by Tareq *et al.* (2011) in wheat.

#### **Germination percent**

Germination percent varied significantly at different varieties (Table 1). The highest Germination percent (67.33) was recorded in V<sub>1</sub> and the lowest germination percent (23.33) was recorded in V<sub>5</sub>. Here V<sub>2</sub>, V<sub>4</sub> and V<sub>3</sub>, respectively remained in the middle position. The effect of salinity level on germination percent was found significant (Table 2). The highest germination percent (68.80) was recorded in T<sub>0</sub> and the lowest germination percent (38.40) was recorded in T<sub>2</sub>. Salinity level T<sub>1</sub> remained in the middle position. Germination percent varied significantly at different varieties x salinity interactions (Table 3). The highest germination percent (73.0) was recorded in T<sub>0</sub> x V<sub>1</sub> which was statistically identical with T<sub>0</sub> x V<sub>5</sub> and T<sub>1</sub> x V<sub>1</sub> interactions and the lowest T<sub>0</sub> x V<sub>1</sub> (0.00) was recorded in T<sub>1</sub> x V<sub>5</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> x V<sub>5</sub> interaction. Similar result was found by Siddiqui *et al.* (2017).

#### False seed percent

False seed percent varied significantly at different varieties (Table 1). The highest false seed percent (12.86) was recorded in  $V_4$  and the lowest false seed percent (2.503) was recorded in  $V_5$ . Here  $V_3$ ,  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ , respectively remained in the middle position. The effect of salinity level on false seed percent was found significant (Table 2). The highest false seed percent (10.05) was recorded in  $T_2$  and the lowest false seed percent (5.21) was recorded in  $T_0$  which was statistically identical with  $T_1$ . False seed percent varied significantly at different varieties x salinity interactions (Table 3). The highest false seed percent (23.38) was recorded in  $T_2 \times V_4$  and the lowest (0.00) was recorded in  $T_1 \times V_5$  and  $T_2 \times V_5$  interaction. Similar results were reported by Mojakkir *et al.* (2015) in rice.

#### 4. CONCLUSION

It can be concluded that Merha green was most salt tolerant genotype among all other genotypes whereas BINA pat shak-1 was the most sensitive genotype.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Calleja, D. O. 2010. "Saluyot now a popular vegetable worldwide". Inquirer. Retrieved August 7, 2011.
- [2] Hossain, M. A., Azad, M. A. K., Fakir, M. S. A., Mojakkir, A. M. and Tareq, M. Z. 2008. Physiological significance of accumulated leaf proline in salinity stressed peanut seedlings. *Bangladesh J. Environ. Sci.* 15: 169-174.
- [3] Khadija, M. M., Ismail, M. R., Oad, F. C., Hanafi, M. M. and Puteh, A. 2013. Effect of salinity and alleviating role of gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>) for enhancement of rice yield. *Int. J. of Chemical, Environ. & Biol. Sci.* 1:2
- [4] Minar, M.H., Hossain, M.B., Shamsuddin, M.D., 2013. Climate change and coastal zone of Bangladesh: vulnerability, resilience and adaptability. *Middle East J. Sci. Res.* 13:114-120.
- [5] Mojakkir, A. M., Tareq, M. Z., Mottalib, M. A., Hoque, A. B. M. Z. and Hossain, M. A. 2015. Effect of salinity at reproductive stage in rice. *Int. J. Bus. Soc. & Sci. Res.* 3(1): 07-12.
- [6] Murshed, M. G., Hossain, M. A., Tareq, M. Z. and Ashrafuzzaman, M. 2008. Short-term effect of salinity on seedling growth of rice. *Bangladesh J. Environ. Sci.* 14(1):66-70.
- [7] Resources Council, Science and Technology, Japan (ed.) 2000. "Standard Tables of Food Composition in Japan, 5th revised edition." Printing Bureau, Ministry of Finance, Tokyo (in Japanese).
- [8] Siddiqui, M. N., Mostofa, M. G., Akter, M. M., Srivastava, A. K., Sayed, M. A., Hasan, M. S. and Tran, L. S. P. 2017. Impact of salt-induced toxicity on growth and yield-potential of local wheat cultivars: oxidative stress and ion toxicity are among the major determinants of salt-tolerant capacity. *Chemosphere*. 187: 385-394.
- [9] Tareq, M. Z., Hossain, M. A., Mojakkir, M. A., Ahmed, R. and Fakir, M. S. A. 2011. Effect of salinity on reproductive growth of wheat. *Bangladesh J. Seed Sci. & Tech.* 15 (1&2): 111-116.